Capturing gradience in long-distance phonology using probabilistic tier-based strictly local grammars
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The class of tier-based strictly local (TSL) languages has proven useful for modeling long-distance phonotactic phenomena from the perspective of formal language theory.\(^1\)

Long-distance phonology frequently exhibits *gradience* that TSL cannot capture.

This presentation will present **probabilistic tier-based strictly local** (pTSL) grammars, which naturally extend TSL grammars to allow gradience to be represented

\(^{1}\text{Heinz.etal11}\)
Subregular phonology attempts to find proper subclasses of the regular languages and transductions that are sufficiently powerful to model natural language phenomena.\footnote{Heinz2016}

Let’s start by considering two commonly applied subregular classes
Strictly local languages

SL languages are generated by grammars that **prohibit certain substrings**.

- $f_k(s)$ is the set of all length-$k$ substrings of $\times^{k-1}s\times^{k-1}$ for $s \in \Sigma^*$

A SL-$k$ grammar $G$ is a finite set of strings from $(\{\times, \times\} \cup \Sigma)^k$

- $s \in \Sigma^*$ is well-formed with respect to $G$ iff $f_k(s) \cap G = \emptyset$
Simple tier projections

SL grammars have difficulty capturing long-distance restrictions.

Tier-based strictly local grammars provide a solution.

Preliminaries: for $T \subseteq \Sigma$, a *simple tier projection* $\pi_T$ deletes symbols not in $T$.

- If $T = \{a, c\}$, $\pi_T(abbc) = ac$
Tier-based strictly local grammars

TSL languages are generated by grammars that prohibit certain substrings on a tier projection.

A TSL-k grammar is a tuple \((T, G)\) where

- \(T \subseteq \Sigma\)
- \(G\) is a finite set of strings from \((\{\times, \times\} \cup T)^k\)
- \(s \in \Sigma^*\) is well formed with respect to a TSL-k grammar \((T, G)\) iff 
  \[ f_k(\pi_T(s)) \cap G = \emptyset \]
Consider a language where primary stress can occur anywhere, but words must contain exactly one syllable with primary stress. Let \( \Sigma = \{\sigma, \dot{\sigma}\} \).

We can’t generate this language with any SL-\( k \) grammar:

- Any string of the form \( \dot{\sigma} \sigma^{k-1} \sigma \) violates this stress pattern, but can’t be excluded by a SL-\( k \) grammar.
But we can do this with a TSL-2 grammar!

- Let $T := \{ \sigma \}$ and $G := \{ \times \times, \sigma \sigma \}$.
- $\pi_T(\sigma \sigma^{k-1} \sigma) = \sigma \sigma$ for any value of $k$.
- This projection will be rejected because $f_2(\sigma \sigma) = \{ \times \sigma, \sigma \sigma, \sigma \times \}$ $\times$
Moving to non-categorical outputs

TSL grammars assign categorical membership to input strings.

- An input is either in the language or not.

Sometimes we want to model more gradient properties

- Acceptability ratings\(^3\)
- Production frequencies\(^4\)

\(^3\) AlbrightHayes2003; DalandEtAl2011
\(^4\) HayesLonde2006; ZurawHayes2017
Probabilistic tier projection functions

The simple tier projection function \( \pi_T \) can be generalized to a \textit{probabilistic tier projection function} \( \pi_P : \Sigma^* \rightarrow (\Sigma^* \rightarrow [0, 1]) \).

Returns a probability distribution over projections of an input string to a tier.

\( \pi_T \) can be thought of as a special case of \( \pi_P \).
Calculating the distribution over projections

Each symbol has a probability of projecting $P_{proj} : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$

The probability of projecting a subsequence $y = (y_n)_{n \in J}$ from the input $x = (x_n)_{n \in I}$ is

$$\pi_P(x)(y) := \prod_{k \in J} P_{proj}(x_k) \cdot \prod_{k \in I \setminus J} [1 - P_{proj}(x_k)]$$

The probabilities of all possible projections for an input string $x$ sum to one:

$$\sum_{y \in \Sigma^*} \pi_P(x)(y) = 1$$
A probabilistic tier-based strictly k-local (pTSL-k) grammar over $\Sigma$ is a tuple $(\pi_P, G)$:

- $\pi_P$ is a probabilistic projection function
- $G \subseteq (\Sigma \cup \{\times, \kappa\})^k$ is a set of prohibited $k$-factors
Computing the probability of an input

\( \text{val}_{(\pi_P, G)} \) computes the value that is assigned to a input string \( x \) by the corresponding pTSL-k grammar.

\[
\text{val}_{(\pi_P, G)}(x) := \sum_{y : f_k(y) \cap G = \emptyset} \pi_P(x)(y)
\]

This is the sum of the probabilities of all possible projections that don’t contain a prohibited \( k \)-factor.

This is a \textit{conditional probability given an input}. In general

\[
\sum_{x \in \Sigma^*} \text{val}_{(\pi_P, G)}(x) \neq 1
\]
An example pTSL grammar

Assume a pTSL-2 grammar defined over the alphabet $\Sigma := \{a, b, c\}$.

$\pi_P$ is defined using the following projection probabilities:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{proj}(a) &:= 1.0 \\
P_{proj}(b) &:= 0.5 \\
P_{proj}(c) &:= 1.0
\end{align*}
\]

Let $G := \{ac\}$
An example pTSL grammar

The complete distribution over possible projections of \( abbc \) is:

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi_P(abbc)(abbc) &= 0.25 \\
\pi_P(abbc)(abc) &= 0.5 \\
\pi_P(abbc)(ac) &= 0.25
\end{align*}
\]

\[
val_{(\pi_P, G)}(abbc) = 0.75, \text{ because the sum of the probabilities of all projections of } abbc \text{ that do not contain the 2-factor } ac \text{ is } 0.25 + 0.5 = 0.75.
\]
Some properties of pTSL

A stringset \( L \subseteq \Sigma^* \) is pTSL-\( k \) iff there is some pTSL-\( k \) grammar \((\pi_P, G)\) such that

\[
L = \{ w \in \Sigma^* | val_{(\pi_P, G)}(w) > 0 \}.
\]

TSL \( \subsetneq \) pTSL
How do we relate the probabilities generated by pTSL to gradient linguistic data?

Word ratings should be *positively correlated* with pTSL probabilities.

For response frequencies between two possible forms $y_1$ and $y_2$:

$$\text{freq}(y_1) := \frac{\text{val}(\pi_P, G)(y_1)}{\text{val}(\pi_P, G)(y_1) + \text{val}(\pi_P, G)(y_2)}$$

$$\text{freq}(y_2) := 1 - \text{freq}(y_1)$$

This works quite well in practice!
Hungarian vowel harmony

Suffixes must match the backness of the final front (F) or back (B) vowel in the root.\(^5\)

/ɪ iː ɛ/ are *harmonically neutral* (N). N roots generally take front suffixes.

BN\(^+\) roots vary in whether they take front or back suffixes. This is sensitive to:

- **Count effects**: More N → more likely back trigger will be blocked
- **Height effects**: lower vowels more likely to block (/ɛ/ ≫ /eː/ ≫ /ɪ iː/)\(^5\)

Hayes et al. (2009) wug tested 131 native speakers on this variation

- Participants presented with wug words matching several templates (BN, BNN, N)
- Asked to attach dative suffix: we’re interested in whether they choose the front or back form (*response frequency*).

\(^5\)HayesLonde2006; HayesEtAl2009
A pTSL grammar for Hungarian backness harmony

I defined a pTSL-2 grammar to capture wug test responses:

- \( \Sigma := \{B, I, e:, e, S_f, S_b\} \)
- \( G := \{BS_f, IS_b, e:S_b, eS_b\} \)
- \( P_{proj} \) fixed to 1 for \( \{B, S_f, S_b\} \)

The rest of the projection probabilities were fit to response frequencies

\[
P_{proj}(I) = 0.39 \\
P_{proj}(e:) = 0.66 \\
P_{proj}(e) = 0.82
\]

These values allow us to capture both count and height effects!
A sample calculation of $\text{val}(G,\pi_P)$

**Figure**: Probability distribution over projections of $Bl\epsilon S_f$. $\text{val}(\pi_P,G)(Bl\epsilon S_f) = 0.895$, which is the sum of the probabilities of the grammatical projections.
Fit to Hungarian response frequencies

Figure: Observed against predicted proportion of back responses by stem template ($r = 0.83$).
Discussion

pTSL grammars assign (conditional) probabilities that capture gradience in long-distance phonological patterns

The parameters are simple and interpretable

Distance-based decay is captured with no explicit reference to distance

- Decay functions proposed in the literature\(^6\) are equivalent to assigning certain projection probabilities to intervening material\(^7\)

\(^6\)Kimper2011; Zymet2014
\(^7\)McMullinND
Extensions of pTSL

We can extend projection probabilities to be conditioned on context

- **Input context (I-TSL/SS-TSL):** $P_{proj}(x_i|x_{i-1})$
- **Output context (O-TSL):** $P_{proj}(x_i|y_{j-1})$
- **Both (IO-TSL):** $P_{proj}(x_i|x_{i-1}, y_{j-1})$

Conditioning on preceding output can improve the fit to Hungarian N stems.
- More likely to project N when no preceding B

---
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